UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

JOHNNY BLACKWELL and JOSE VIVANCO,

Plaintiffs,

USDS SDNY
DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #: ____
DATE FILED: ____

ORDER ON NOTICE

07 Civ. 6604 CLB KMK

v.

NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH, et al.,

Defendants.

WHEREAS plaintiffs herein commenced the within action and order to show cause requesting injunctive and declaratory relief against defendants, and whereas the order to show case appeared on the court calendar on July 27, 2007 before the Honorable Charles L. Brieant, United States District Judge, and whereas plaintiffs appeared by their attorneys, Legal Services of the Hudson Valley, Elwyn C. Hutter and Mary Grace Ferone of counsel, and the defendants Pathways to Housing, Inc. appeared by Heath Gurimsky, defendant Westchester County Department of Community Mental Health appeared by Shannon Brady, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development appeared by John Clopper and Erica Levin, and defendant Whitney Young Manor appeared by Charles Macellaro, whereas all the parties wishing to be heard have been heard, the parties having reached a settlement regarding injunctive relief, it is hereby ordered that:

1) defendant Pathways and Department of Community Mental Health are ordered to hold termination proceedings according to statutory and regulatory guidelines which govern the Shelter Plus Care program prior to the termination or eviction of plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco;

- 2) in reference to plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco, notice of termination from defendant Pathways shall be provided within ten days of entry of this order;
- 3) defendant Pathways is directed by August 15, 2007 to provide an invoice of rent due and owing in relation to plaintiff Blackwell from December 1, 2006 through and including August 31, 2007 to defendant Department of Community Mental Health;
- 4) defendant Department of Community Mental Health is directed to make payment to defendant Pathways on said invoices by August 24, 2007;
- 5) defendant Pathways is directed to forward these payments to defendant Whitney Young no later than August 31, 2007;
- 6) defendant Department of Community Mental Health and defendant Pathways are directed to continue payments on behalf of plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco pursuant to program guidelines until such time as either plaintiffs are properly terminated or voluntarily leave the Shelter Plus Care program;
- 7) defendant Whitney Young is stayed from proceeding on or executing the judgment and warrant of eviction relative to plaintiff Blackwell issued by the Yonkers City Court on Special

Proceeding 1984-07 pending the payments as ordered herein;

8) defendant Pathways is stayed from proceeding on the eviction proceeding against plaintiff Vivanco in Yonkers City Court known as Special Proceeding 1915-07 pending the holding and completion of termination hearing.

The Foregoing Constitutes the Order of The Court.

HON CHARLES L. BRIEANT, KUNCH M. KAKY UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

Dated: 9 12/07

TO:

Westchester County Attorney
Greg Spicer, Esq.
148 Martine Avenue
White Plains, New York 10601
Attoreny for Defendant Westchester County DCMH

United States Department of Justice United States Attorney General Southern District Of New York John D. Clopper, Assistant United States Attorney 86 Chambers Street New York, New York 10007 Attorney for Defendant HUD

Heath Gurimsky, Esq. 1468 Midland Avenue, 5f Bronxville, New York 10708 Attorney for Defendant Pathways Charles Macellaro, Esq. 570 Yonkers Avenue Yonkers, New York 10704 Attorney for Defendant Whitney Young Manor, L.P.

11	77riblam ag MOTION	1
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	JOHNNY BLACKWELL and	
4	JOSE VIVANCO,	
5	Plaintiffs,	
6	v. 07 Civ. 6604 CLB	:
7	NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH, et al.,	
8	Defendants.	
9	X	
10	White Plains, N.Y. July 27, 2007	
11	10:15 a.m.	
12	Before:	
13	HON. CHARLES L. BRIEANT,	
14	District Judge	
15	APPEARANCES	
16	LEGAL SERVICE OF THE HUDSON VALLEY Attorney for Plaintiffs	
17	MARY GRACE FERONE ELWYN C. HUTTER	
18	WESTCHESTER COUNTY ATTORNEY Attorney for Defendant Department of Mental Health	
19	SHANNON S. BRADY	
20	HEATH GORINSKY Attorney for Defendant Pathways to Housing	
21		
22	MACELLARO & MARTINELLI Attorney for Defendant Whitney Young Manor CHARLES J. MACELLARO	
23	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE	
24	FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK JOHN CLOPPER	
25	ERICA LEVIN Assistant United States Attorneys	

MOTIOM

]	
1	THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Johnny Blackwell and José
2	Vivanco v. the New York State Office of Mental Health, et al.
3	THE COURT: This Court issued an order to show cause
4	at the request of the plaintiffs on July 23rd. Who wants to be
5	heard in behalf of the plaintiffs?
6	MS FERONE: I do, your Honor. Mary Grace Ferone. I'm
7	going to be asking the Court for a <i>pro hac vic</i> e order to allow
8	my partner Elwyn Hutter to address the motion.
9	THE COURT: You have to pay the clerk the fee.
10	MS FERONE: Got it right here. They told us to come
11	up.
12	THE COURT: Whose application is it?
13	MS FERONE: For Elwyn Hutter.
14	THE COURT: Mr. Hutter, your application to appear pro
15	hac vice in the case is granted. Welcome to the Mother Court.
16	Do you want to speak?
17	MR. HUTTER: Yes, your Honor, thank you. The
18	plaintiffs in this case ask for a preliminary injunction
19	requesting two forms of relief: First, staying any underlying
20	Yonkers City Court proceeding, there are two of which, which
21	stand to evict Mr. Blackwell and Mr. Vivanco.
22	THE COURT: Do I understand correctly that one of them
23	is incarcerated?
24	MR. HUTTER: Mr. Vivanco was incarcerated at the end
25	of 2005 and the first couple of months of 2006. Both are

MOTION

77riblam ag

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

currently living in the apartments that they contracted to live in with Pathways to Housing and their respective landlords.

THE COURT: And he was terminated for disruptive behavior or just for not paying?

MR. HUTTER: Well, Mr. Blackwell was -- defendant Whitney Young is seeking to evict them for nonpayment of rent.

THE COURT: Not for selling drugs.

MR. HUTTER: Not for selling drugs. For nonpayment of rent. Mr. Vivanco, Pathways to Housing is seeking to evict him as a licensee because of the time that he was absent from the apartment. That time was caused not only by his brief incarceration but by a subsequent hospitalization.

THE COURT: Now, he would be entitled to an administrative hearing and they could do that, couldn't they?

MR. HUTTER: That's what we're asking for. We're asking for time for that administrative process to take place. Pathways to Housing --

THE COURT: I don't have to adjudicate this. The only relief that this Court should give you is to hold everything up until they hold a hearing.

MR. HUTTER: Yes. And for continued payment of the rent to the landlords.

THE COURT: I don't know if I can mandate the payment.

MR. HUTTER: Well, the subsidy currently is in place according to recent conversations with the County. We're not

2.1

MOTION

asking that the plaintiffs get a free ride. The landlords are entitled to their money. Plaintiff Blackwell is in fact being evicted for nonpayment of rent.

THE COURT: But they have to pay part of the rent themselves.

MR. HUTTER: Absolutely. That portion of the rent we will account for. But the largest portion, roughly 70 percent of the rent, is to come from Pathways to Housing through the County through the Shelter Plus Care program. So even if we procured plaintiff Blackwell's 30 percent of the rent, the nonpayment proceeding would still lie. And if the payment of rent is not continued on behalf of Mr. Vivanco, a new nonpayment proceeding would lie in Yonkers City Court. We're not asking that new monies should be spent. The subsidies should be in effect for both plaintiffs.

THE COURT: There's no question that if they want to give him an evidentiary hearing and if they find that one was selling drugs, and it's not quite clear to me what the other one was doing, they're not evicting him for that, only for nonpayment.

MR. HUTTER: That's correct. If the administrative hearing process is held and we go through and they find that the subsidies should be terminated, so be it. We're simply asking for that administrative process which has not been granted.

77riblam ag

25

MOTION

THE COURT: All right. That would have to be given by 1 the two different landlords, the hearing. Who gives the 2 3 hearing? MR. HUTTER: I believe the County would have to give 4 the hearing as the local administrator of the Shelter Plus Care 5 6 program. 7 THE COURT: Ms Brady. MS BRADY: The County is willing to hold a hearing. 8 There is a process that the Pathways would have to start in 9 order to get there. So it's just a matter of that process 10 being begun. We do have them both active. 11 THE COURT: So the Court then ought to order that and 12 order payment in the meantime. 13 MS BRADY: We don't have any objection to that, your 14 Honor. 15 THE COURT: And you'll give him a prompt hearing. 16 MS BRADY: To the best of our abilities as long as 17 Pathways cooperates with us because it's a joint process. 18 THE COURT: If they don't cooperate they're not going 19 to be in good order. I think I understand your position. 20 Who wants to speak for the landlord? 21 MR. MACELLARO: Good morning, your Honor. Charles 22 Macellaro. I represent Whitney Young Manor LP. They're new. 23 The previous client went bankrupt. 24

THE COURT: You're the landlord of which plaintiff?

11	77riblam ag MOTION
1	MR. MACELLARO: Blackwell. We're just a stakeholder.
2	THE COURT: Your client wants him out of there though.
3	MR. MACELLARO: Well, because we're not being paid.
4	THE COURT: Not because they're selling drugs?
5	MR. MACELLARO: No. We just want the money.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. MACELLARO: We have the two tenants arguing with
8	each other as to who is liable for that money.
9	THE COURT: These people don't live together, do they?
10	MR. MACELLARO: No. Pathways signs the lease. And
11	then Pathways, I forget what they call them, an orientation,
12	they're sublessees, subtenants. So Pathways sublets to
13	Blackwell. So Blackwell has sole possession of the apartment.
14	But the money comes from Pathways. And we're not getting it.
15	THE COURT: All right. I think I understand.
16	MR. MACELLARO: And that's been for the past eight
17	months.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. MACELLARO: So we're due \$7,182. And we don't
20	want to go bankrupt again.
21	THE COURT: I hope not.
22	Who represents Pathways?
23	MR. GORINSKY: Heath Gorinsky. Both parties were
24	notified about being removed from the program. My

understanding --

2.4

25

MOTION

THE COURT: Let's take them one at a time. Blackwell 1 was removed from the program for what? 2 MR. GORINSKY: Drug activity. 3 THE COURT: You do agree that he has to have a due 4 process hearing to be removed and the County has indicated a 5 willingness to do that promptly. 6 MR. GORINSKY: That's fine. They've been notified for 7 a very long time. I thought the process was that Blackwell and 8 Vivanco request a hearing within a certain amount of time. 9 THE COURT: As far as I can see, they're entitled to a 10 hearing. And you have to make the hearing available. If they 11 don't show up, the hearing officer is going to rule against 1.2 them. The County will take care of that. 1.3 So I think that I ought to issue a preliminary 14 injunction that you go ahead and have the hearing with the 15 County and that you make the payments in the interim. And if 16 the County says he's out, if they affirm the decision to toss 17 him out of the program, then that's effective as of the date of 18 being rendered. I don't see any problem with that. 19 MR. GORINSKY: That's fine. Our point is we gave them 20 proper notice within a sufficient time frame and no one 21 requested the hearing. 22 THE COURT: I don't think that's necessarily so. I 23

think you have to put it down where the goats can get at it.

MR. MACELLARO: If I may, your Honor --

terminate.

25

MOTION

THE COURT: Let me address one more thing. What about 1 2 the other tenant? MR. GORINSKY: Mr. Vivanco. 3 THE COURT: Is he your tenant? 4 MR. GORINSKY: He was a client of Pathways. And the 5 reason the landlord is not here on that case is because 6 Pathways commenced the eviction proceeding against Mr. Vivanco. 7 And in that case, a licensee holdover was commenced. 8 THE COURT: Is there any question that he's entitled 9 to a hearing before they toss him out? 10 MR. GORINSKY: He was incarcerated for five and a half 11 months. During the incarceration we initially started the 12 case. And then when he left prison basically we were notified 13 and we started the case against him and the person he left in 14 the apartment. 15 THE COURT: Can he be excluded from the program for 16 having been convicted? 17 MR. GORINSKY: Pathways cannot hold the apartment for 18 more than three months. So after three months they have to 19 20 relinquish the apartment. THE COURT: Does the plaintiff claim that that's an 21 issue for a hearing? 2.2 MR. HUTTER: Yes, your Honor. There's no regulation 23 stating that incarceration in and of itself is a reason to 24

77riblam aq

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MOTION

THE COURT: But he's telling me as I hear him that if you're vacant for three months regardless, if you're just taking a trip to Spain, you lose your status. MR. HUTTER: It's my understanding that you do not automatically lose your subsidy. THE COURT: By moving out. MR. HUTTER: By vacating the apartment. What is at issue here is whether or not the subsidy has been terminated, because with the subsidy flows the payment of rent. THE COURT: Then he has to have a hearing also. MR. GORINSKY: He's talking about subsidy, I'm talking about terminating him from the Pathways program. THE COURT: They're interlocked aren't they. I think the Court has to order both as to Blackwell and Vivanco that they have to have a due process hearing with the County before they may be terminated. Is there something else you wanted to say before I go on? MR. MACELLARO: You're absolutely correct, your Honor. I represent the Yonkers Municipal Housing Authority. In this case? THE COURT: MR. MACELLARO: No, no. But similar law. They have to afford that hearing. And that's the process that has to go forth. They've agreed to do it. THE COURT:

MR. MACELLARO: All I wanted to say is I agree with

11	77riblam ag MOTION
1	the Court.
2	THE COURT: It seems to me that the issues have been
3	resolved here on the record. And it may well be that the
4	plaintiff would like to submit an order.
5	MR. HUTTER: Certainly, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: And I suppose that really concludes any
7	issues in this lawsuit. That would be a final judgment,
8	wouldn't it?
9	MS BRADY: Just for a moment, your Honor. My
10	understanding is in order for the County to pay, if what we're
11	doing is paying the back amount, we need to get an invoice from
12	Pathways.
13	THE COURT: For Heaven's sake, will somebody give an
14	invoice.
15	MS BRADY: I just wanted that to be clear on the
16	record.
17	THE COURT: Do you need a claim form?
18	MS BRADY: I don't know what the process is. The
19	term-of-art has been invoice.
20	THE COURT: The directions of the Court are
21	conditioned on the presentation of a proper invoice or claim
22	form.
23	MR. MACELLARO: May I be heard on that point too, your
24	Honor?

THE COURT: Sure. That's silly. If the Court orders

77riblam ag

MOTION

it, the Court has the power of civil contempt. I don't need a 1 2 claim form. MR. MACELLARO: As I understand it, in this particular 3 instance Pathways pays my client. Blackwell, the subtenant, 4 gives the money to Pathways also. 5 THE COURT: The County is producing the big bucks. 6 MR. MACELLARO: Westchester County is giving the money 7 to Pathways. But Pathways has the dollars and cents. 8 Therefore included in that order must be a direction to 9 Pathways to pay the landlord within ten days. 10 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to, as long as you're 11 here, you can rough this out and read it into the record. 12 13 Otherwise the papers will be flying around, there may be some issue about wording. 14 15 MR. MACELLARO: I think it's a good idea. THE COURT: Would you like to do that? 16 17 MR. MACELLARO: Okay. THE COURT: Why don't you do that. 18 19 The Court grants the motion to the extent set forth on the record. 20 21 (Pause) 22 THE COURT: Who is going to read the provisions into 23 the record at this time? 24 MS FERONE: I am your Honor. We have one point that 25 we'd like your Honor's assistance with, one last sticking

77riblam ag

MOTION

1 point.

THE COURT: What is that?

MS FERONE: It's our position and the position of most of the other defendants except for Pathways that the current proceeding that Pathways has pending in City Court against plaintiff Vivanco, it's our position that it should be withdrawn because it's a holdover proceeding based on allegations which would be subject to the hearing that they're going to be offering our client. So to have a holdover proceeding in City Court --

THE COURT: Does it make any difference whether it's stayed or withdrawn? Because if the hearing determines he's not eligible, probably they're going to evict him.

MS FERONE: They would have the benefit then of starting a summary proceeding in violation of --

THE COURT: I will order that all eviction proceedings be stayed until a further order of this Court, which can be obtained on application by anybody after due process hearings have been concluded. Anybody have a problem with that?

ALL COUNSEL: No.

THE COURT: Thank you. Please read into the record the provisions of the order so the Court can mark it so ordered on the transcript.

MR. CLOPPER: John Clopper, United States Attorney's Office.

MOTION

THE COURT: Please listen carefully. Everyone will be asked when he's finished with the statement whether it is consistent with your understanding.

MR. CLOPPER: Thank you, your Honor. I just want to make a brief statement.

THE COURT: It's an order of the Court once it's

ordered, so you'll have to read the whole thing. That's what I thought you were going to do in order to save time and the hassle of going back to your office and drawing it up and having some other lawyer have some problem with the order.

MR. CLOPPER: That's what we're going to do. I will read one part of the order that pertains to HUD.

THE COURT: Somebody else is going to read the rest?

MR. CLOPPER: Yes, sir. Just to reflect HUD's limited interest in the case, we've agreed on this. That the order entered today does not obligate HUD -- HUD reserves the right to exercise its rights under the agreement between the Department of Housing and Urban Development and Westchester County Department of Mental Health and applicable federal law.

I also assume you're going to enter final judgment today.

THE COURT: I don't know if I can. The problem will be that after the due process hearing, either everybody is going to be reinstated and live happily ever after or they're going to be evicted. So I don't know whether I can do that.

77riblam ag MOTION

2.4

If everybody agreed to do that, that's fine. The courthouse is always open, you know.

MR. CLOPPER: In that event, I would just, and I don't think this is going to be necessary, but I would like to reserve the right to move to dismiss HUD from the case.

THE COURT: Sure. Is there any problem about what's been stated so far?

ALL COUNSEL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: So stipulated. Who is going to give us the rest of the provisions?

MS FERONE: I will, your Honor. Whereas plaintiffs commenced the within action and order to show cause requesting injunctive and declaratory relief against defendant, and whereas the order to show cause appeared on the court calendar on July 27, 2007 before the Honorable Charles L. Brieant, United States District Judge, and whereas plaintiffs appeared by their attorneys, Legal Services of the Hudson Valley, Elwyn C. Hutter and Mary Grace Ferone of counsel, and the defendants Pathways to Housing, Inc. appeared by Heath Gorinsky, defendant Westchester County Department of Community Mental Health appeared by Shannon Brady, the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development appeared by John Clopper and Erica Levin, and defendant Whitney Young Manor appeared by Charles Macellaro, whereas all the parties wishing to be heard have been heard, it is hereby ordered that:

- 1) defendant Pathways and Department of Community
 Mental Health are ordered to hold termination proceedings
 according to statutory and regulatory guidelines which govern
 the Shelter Plus Care program prior to the termination or
 eviction of plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco;
- 2) in reference to plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco, notice of termination from defendant Pathways shall be provided within ten days of entry of this order;
- 3) defendant Pathways is directed by August 15, 2007 to provide an invoice of rent due and owing in relation to plaintiff Blackwell from December 1, 2006 through and including August 31, 2007 to defendant Department of Community Mental Health;
- 4) defendant Department of Community Mental Health is directed to make payment to defendant Pathways on said invoices by August 24, 2007;
- 5) defendant Pathways is directed to forward these payments to defendant Whitney Young no later than August 31, 2007;
- 6) defendant Department of Community Mental Health and defendant Pathways are directed to continue payments on behalf of plaintiffs Blackwell and Vivanco pursuant to program guidelines until such time as either plaintiffs are properly terminated there or voluntarily leave the Shelter Plus Care program;

77riblam ag

2.4

MOTION

7) defendant Whitney Young is stayed from proceeding on or executing the judgment and warrant of eviction relative to plaintiff Blackwell issued by the Yonkers City Court on Special Proceeding 1984-07 pending the payments as ordered herein.

And then our last paragraph is 8, I think it's what your Honor stated, which is relative to:

8) defendant Pathways is stayed from proceeding on the

8) defendant Pathways is stayed from proceeding on the eviction proceeding against plaintiff Vivanco in Yonkers City Court known as Special Proceeding 1915-07 pending the holding and completion of termination hearing.

THE COURT: Thank you. Is everyone satisfied?

ALL COUNSEL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: The Court appreciates the efforts of the attorneys in resolving the format of this adjudication by the Court, and the order as read in the record is adopted as the Court's order. The Court will also endorse the transcript in due time and have it docketed as a separate paper.

The Court assumes you don't want to discontinue the case today, so I'll give you a date to come back and tell me the status of it in September. And if you find out that everything has been resolved and there's nothing more to litigate, you don't have to attend, if you send in a discontinuance. We will see you back here on September 21, 2007 at nine a.m.

77riblam ag MOTION

MR. MACELLARO: I can't make that. I hope I don't have to attend anyway.

THE COURT: I assuming you won't. You can certainly send somebody from your office.

MR. MACELLARO: I'm sorry. I apologize. That is Yom Kippur.

THE COURT: We'll give you another date. Friday

September 28, nine a.m. The matter is continued until

September 28th and if everything is resolved you can send in a discontinuance prior thereto and there's no need to attend.

Thank you all for your attendance here today.

The Court will be in recess.

(Proceedings adjourned)

2.2